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Why did we do this research?

- Making decisions about your own life is a key part of independence, freedom and human rights
- Some people who lack the relevant decision making ability, with the right support, could make their own decision
- There is not enough evidence available about how to effectively support decision making
- The Mental Capacity Act (Northern Ireland) 2016 is a new legal framework for decision making when a person lacks the relevant decision making ability
- Social workers will be one of the key professions involved in implementation
“... the only purpose for which power can be rightfully exercised over any member of a civilized community, against his will, is to prevent harm to others. His own good, either physical or moral, is not a sufficient warrant...

It is, perhaps, hardly necessary to say that this doctrine is meant to apply only to human beings in the maturity of their faculties... Those who are still in a state to require being taken care of by others, must be protected against their own actions as well as against external injury.”

John Stuart Mill (1806-1873)
Current legal framework: Mental Health (NI) Order 1986 (criteria of mental disorder and risk) – possible to be detained with the relevant decision making ability; possible to be voluntary without the relevant decision making ability

No current statute law to enable health and welfare decisions to be made for people who lack the capacity to do so – reliance on common law principles of necessity, reasonable belief and best interests – High Court if necessary


Developments in the laws of our neighbouring jurisdictions

Dawson and Szmukler (2006) Fusion approach

UNCRPD (2006) supported decision making

Bamford Review (2002-2007)
Context – Mental Capacity Act (Northern Ireland) 2016

  “The Review considers that having one law for decisions about physical illness and another for mental illness is anomalous, confusing and unjust…
  the Review considers that Northern Ireland should take steps to avoid the discrimination, confusion and gaps created by separately devising two separate statutory approaches, but should rather look to creating a comprehensive legislative framework which would be truly principles-based and non-discriminatory.”
* Passed by the NI Assembly and received Royal Assent on 9th May 2016
* Draft Code of Practice – consultation with Reference Group September 2017 and formal consultation 2019
* Implementation planned for 2020/21
* (Assembly suspended January 2017)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range of causes of impairment</th>
<th>Types of decision</th>
<th>Levels of intervention</th>
<th>Safeguards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Section 3(1) the person is unable to make a decision for himself or herself about the matter...because of an impairment of, or a disturbance in the functioning of, the mind or brain. | Decisions in relation to care, treatment and/or personal welfare | Routine | Support principle  
Reasonable belief the person lacks capacity and the intervention proposed is in their interests  
Second opinion (ECT) |
| So any impairment or disturbance, temporary or permanent, including: |  | Serious (major surgery; serious pain, distress, impact and/or consequences) | And  
Formal assessment of capacity  
Nominated person  
Second opinion (best interests finely balanced) |
| Dementia  
Learning disability  
Brain injury  
Mental health  
Personality disorder  
Physical health  
Alcohol and drugs |  | Authorised (treatment with serious consequences where the nominated person objects, deprivation of liberty, attendance requirement, community residence requirement) | And  
Independent advocate  
Report including Medical Recommendation  
Trust Authorisation |
|  |  |  | Review Tribunal |
|  |  |  | Public Guardian, High Court |
The Act introduces a new duty to support people to make their own decisions

Section 1(4): “The person is not to be treated as unable to make a decision for himself or herself about the matter unless all practicable help and support to enable the person to make a decision about the matter have been given without success”
• **Peer researchers** interviewed 41 people with mental ill health and/or learning disabilities

• They asked lots of questions about:
  - People’s experiences of decision making
  - What types of support people have had when making decisions
  - What people liked or disliked about the support they have received
Peer researcher process
Everyone has different experiences of decision making.

* Some people said in the past they weren’t allowed to make decisions.

* Some people said they make their own decisions all the time.

* Some people said they find it hard to make decisions on their own.

* Some people said they put off making decisions because they find it hard.
Everyone has different feelings about making decisions.

- Some people said making decisions makes them feel scared.

- Some people find it hard to tell others when they are struggling to make a decision.

- Some people get confused if they have to make a decision quickly.

- Some people get confused if information is presented in a different way than they are used to.
Everyone has different feelings about support when making decisions.

- Some people said they feel angry when other people make decisions for them.
- Some people said that they liked someone helping them make decisions.
- Some people said that they sometimes liked help to make decisions, depending on what happened after the decision was made.
- Some people said they like having family support, and there needs to be more of this.
Who provides support?

- Qualities of a good supporter
  - approachable;
  - good relationship;
  - someone to talk to; good listener;
  - empathy & understanding;
  - kind, caring;
  - good communication skills;
  - advice/information;
  - experienced/qualified/knowledgeable;
  - trustworthy, respectful and shows interest.
There are lots of different types of support people want when making decisions.

Some participants said they wanted:

- More information
- Accessible information (e.g. Easy Read)
- Someone to talk to
- More time
- Set options to choose from
Participants said there were three things that make decision making harder:

- the type of decision to be made
- other people (the impact of the decision on them, and/or their influence)
- what might happen after the decision is made

We learnt that other people’s roles in decision making are important.

We also learnt that helpful support includes practical and emotional support.
Support for decision making needs to be individualised and the support principle should be understood in a broad and flexible sense to reflect this variation and complexity.

There was very little mention of existing, more formal processes of support such as advance decisions, crisis care planning and Enduring Power of Attorney.

The new Act will introduce a positive, more comprehensive framework for these more formal processes but considerable efforts may be needed to promote public awareness and understanding of what these involve.
Recommendations

* Time was consistently identified as an important factor and it should be emphasised that if there is urgency to make a decision, what the cause of the urgency is and whether more time could be available
* There are already a number of excellent sources for guidance for supported decision making, as highlighted in this report, and these international exemplars should help inform the operationalisation of the support principle
Recommendations

* Although much of this project focused on the positive potential of support, the limitations and potential complexities of support should also be explicitly considered in the Code of Practice.

* It should also be highlighted that what is intended to be support may, at times, move into undue influence, coercion and/or abuse.

* Participants highlighted that they bring considerable experience of support and were open to being further involved in discussing these issues.
Next steps

* Public consultation on Code of Practice
* Possible training intervention on Supported Decision Making
* Key role for social work – the new statutory duty reinforces what is already good practice
* Need for evidence on what support works for whom in what circumstances
* Peer researchers – social enterprise?